## Study on the Constituents of Roots of Aceriphyllum rossii

## by Le Thi Kim Van $^{\rm a})$ , Tran Manh Hung $^{\rm a})$ , Soo Hyun Kim $^{\rm b})$ , Jin Cheol Kim $^{\rm c})$ , MinKyun Na $^{\rm d)}$ , Hyun Ju  $\bf{Jung^c}$ ), Seung Jun Kwack $^f$ ), Kee Tae Kweon $^f$ ), Jae Sue Choi $^g$ ), Hyeong Kyu Lee $^b$ ), KiHwan Bae $^h$ ), and Byung-Sun Min\*a)

a) College of Pharmacy, Catholic University of Daegu, Gyeongsan 712-702, Korea (phone:  $+82-53-850-3613$ ; fax:  $+82-53-850-3602$ ; e-mail: bsmin@cu.ac.kr) b) Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Daejeon 305-600, Korea c ) Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Daejeon 305-600, Korea d) College of Pharmacy, Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan 712-749, Korea e) Department of Oriental Pharmacy, Wonkwang University, Iksan 570-749, Korea f ) National Institute of Toxicological Research, Seoul 122-704, Korea g) Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology, Pukyung National University, Busan 608-737, Korea h) College of Pharmacy, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea

A new stereoisomer of a tetrahydrofuranoid lignan, acerifuranoid A (1), and two new oleanane-type triterpenoids, aceriphyllic acids J and K  $(2 \text{ and } 3)$ , were isolated from the roots of Aceriphyllum rossii. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic analyses and chemical evidence. These isolated compounds exhibited weak cytotoxic activity against various cancer cell lines with  $IC_{50}$  > 150 µm.

Introduction. – Aceriphyllum rossii Engler. (Saxifragaceae), an endemic species in Korea, is a perennial herb that grows on damp rocks along valleys in the central northern part of Korea. The fresh young leaves and stems of A. rossii are being used as a nutritious food in Korea [1]. Previous studies on the air-parts of this plant have reported the isolation of several triterpenes and flavonol glycosides, together with their acyl-CoA : cholesterol acyltransferase inhibitory and antioxidant properties [2] [3]. Recently, the MeOH extract of A. rossii and some oleanane-type triterpenoid compounds were reported to potently inhibit the growth of Staphylococcus aureus bacteria [4]. In our previous studies on this plant, several triterpenoids were isolated and evaluated for cytotoxic activity against various cancer cell lines [5] [6], and anticomplementary activity on the classical pathway [7]. In the present phytochemical study, extraction and isolation of the hexane-soluble fraction led to the isolation of one new lignan, 1, and two new oleanane-type triterpenoids, 2 and 3. This article reports the isolation and structural elucidation of these new components, as well as an evaluation of their cytotoxic activity against three human cancer cell lines, namely MCF-7, LLC, and A549.

Results and Discussion. – The roots of A. rossii were extracted with MeOH at room temperature. The MeOH extract was partitioned with hexane, AcOEt, and BuOH to obtain the corresponding fractions. The hexane-soluble fraction was chromatographed repeatedly to furnish the three compounds  $1-3$  (Fig. 1)<sup>1</sup>).

<sup>1)</sup> Trivial atom numbering; for systematic names, see Exper. Part.

<sup>© 2010</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



Fig. 1. Compounds 1-3 Isolated from Aceriphyllum rossii

Compound 1 was obtained as optically active yellow oil. The molecular composition of 1 was determined as  $C_{23}H_{26}O_7$  by the HR-ESI-MS (*m*/z 473.1803 ([*M* + AcO]<sup>-</sup>)). The UV spectrum of 1 revealed characteristic absorption maxima of a tetrahydrofuranoid lignan at 239 and 275 nm [8]. The <sup>1</sup> H-NMR data revealed a 4-methoxyphenyl substituent, as indicated by four aromatic H-atoms ( $\delta$ (H) 7.30 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H) and 6.89 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 2 H)), a MeO signal ( $\delta$ (H) 3.81 (s, 3 H)), and a 4-hydroxyphenyl group, as shown by four aromatic H-atoms ( $\delta$ (H) 7.27 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H) and 6.90 (d,  $J = 8.0, 2 \text{ H})$ ). Another salient feature of the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum indicated the presence of two Ac groups  $(\delta(H) 2.03 \text{ (s, 3 H)}$  and 1.96 (s, 3 H)), and of six CH-O moieties  $(6(H) 5.75 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.55 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.36 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.4 Hz,$ 1 H), 4.12 (dd,  $J = 11.2$ , 6.8 Hz, 1 H), and 3.70 – 3.79 (m, 2 H)). The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and DEPT spectra suggested that the skeleton consisted of 23 C-atoms including three primary, two secondary, twelve tertiary, and six quaternary C-atoms (including two carboxy C-atoms ( $\delta$ (C) 170.4 and 171.1). In the HMBC spectrum, the correlations between the signal of an oxygenated methine  $H - C(7')$  and  $C(1')$ ,  $C(2')$ ,  $C(6')$ ,  $C(8)$ ,  $C(8')$ , and  $C(9')$  were evident. An AcO group located at  $C(7')$  was confirmed by the long-range correlations from  $\mathrm{H{-}C(7')}$  and  $\mathrm{MeCOO}$  at  $\delta(\mathrm{H})$  2.04 to the C=O at  $\delta(\mathrm{C})$ 170.4. The location of the other AcO group was assigned to  $C(9)$  by the correlations from CH<sub>2</sub>(9) and MeCOO at  $\delta$ (H) 1.96 to the C=O at  $\delta$ (C) 171.1. In addition, longrange correlations between  $H-C(8)$ ,  $CH<sub>2</sub>(9)$ ,  $H-C(8')$ ,  $CH<sub>2</sub>(9')$ ,  $H-C(2)$ , and H-C(6) and C(7) ( $\delta$ (C) 85.2) were also observed. Therefore, the structure of 1 could be assigned as 4-hydroxy-4'-methoxy-7,9'-epoxylignane-7',9-diyl diacetate. All-trans orientation between  $H - C(7)$ ,  $H - C(8)$ , and  $H - C(8')$  of 1 was determined by the cross-peaks  $H - C(7)/H - C(9)$ ,  $H - C(7)/H - C(8')$ ,  $H - C(8)/H - C(7')$ , and  $H - C(8')$  $H-C(9)$  in the ROESY plot (*Fig. 2*). The absolute configurations at the four chiral centers of 1 were determined by comparison of its optical rotation ( $[a]_D = -6.3$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>) with that of the known analogous compound ( $\lbrack a \rbrack_{D} = -5.0$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>) having  $(7R,8S,7'S,8'R)$ -configuration [8] [9]. Thus, the structure of 1 was determined to be a new stereoisomer of the tetrahydrofuranoid lignan (7R,8S,7'S,8'R)-4-hydroxy-4' methoxy-7,9'-epoxylignane-7',9-diyl diacetate, named acerifuranoid A<sup>1</sup>).

Compound 2 was obtained as an amorphous powder, giving a positive red coloration in the Liebermann-Burchard reaction. The molecular formula of 2 was determined as  $C_{33}H_{50}O_6$  from the molecular-ion peak at  $m/z$  542.3551 ( $M^-$ ) in the HR-ESI-MS. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of 2 indicated the presence of six Me ( $\delta$ (H) 1.42, 1.27,



 $R^1 = 4$ -MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>,  $R^2 = Ph$ 

Fig. 2. Selected correlations in the ROESY (H $\leftrightarrow$ H) of 1 and HMBC (H $\rightarrow$ C) of 2 and 3

1.08, 1.00, 0.98, and 0.89), an AcO ( $\delta(H)$  1.96), a MeO ( $\delta(H)$  3.65), and a CH=C group  $(\delta(H) 5.31$  (br. s, 1 H)). A H-atom signal at  $\delta(H) 5.45$  (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H) was assigned to a CH-O moiety, *i.e.*,  $H - C(3)$ , which also indicated an axial position of the AcO group at C(3), similar to aceriphyllic acid B  $(=(3\alpha, 4\alpha, 20\alpha)$ -3,23-dihydroxyolean-12en-29-oic acid) [5] [6]. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and DEPT spectra showed 33 C-atom signals, including three COO groups ( $\delta$ (C) 181.1, 178.1, and 170.3), two olefinic C-atoms ( $\delta$ (C) 145.1, and 123.2), one oxygenated C-atom ( $\delta$ (C) 76.3), a MeO group ( $\delta$ (C) 52.0), an MeCOO group ( $\delta$ (C) 21.8), and six tertiary Me groups ( $\delta$ (C) 28.4, 26.2, 19.8, 17.9, 17.5, and  $15.9$ ). The other C-atom signals were assigned to nine CH<sub>2</sub> and three CH groups, and six quaternary C-atoms which are known features of an oleanane-type triterpene [6] [7]. The full NMR assignments and connectivities were determined by HSQC, HSBC, and COSY data analyses. In the HMBC spectrum  $(Fig. 2)$ , the correlations from H $-C(3)$   $(\delta(H)$  5.45) to the C=O C-atoms ( $\delta(C)$  178.1 and 170.3), and from the Me group  $(\delta(H)$  1.42) to C=O  $(\delta(C)$  178.1), to C(3)  $(\delta(C)$  76.3), and to C(5)  $(\delta(C)$ 45.8) indicated that the  $(C)OOH$  group is located at  $C(23)$  or  $C(24)$ . However, the upfield chemical shift of the Me group at  $\delta(C)$  17.9 suggested that this is C(24), and that the  $(C)$ OOH group is placed at  $C(23)$  [7]. These assignments were confirmed by NOESY correlations from Me(24) ( $\delta$ (H) 1.42) to Me(25) ( $\delta$ (H) 0.98). In addition, the long-range correlation between the MeO group ( $\delta(H)$  3.65) and C(29) ( $\delta(C)$  181.1) indicated the location of the MeO group at C(29). Therefore, the structure of 2 was determined as  $(3\alpha, 4\alpha, 20\alpha)$ -3-(acetyloxyolean-12-ene-23,29-dioic acid 29-methyl ester, named aceriphyllic acid J.

Compound 3 was obtained as an amorphous optically active powder. The <sup>1</sup> H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of 3 were closely similar to those of 2. The difference involved a  $\beta$ -OH group at C(3), as indicated by the large coupling constant of  $H-C(3)$  ( $\delta(H)$  4.69  $(dd, J=6.8, 9.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H})$ , instead of the 3a-AcO group of 2. The molecular formula  $C_{31}H_{48}O_5$  was deduced from a molecular-ion peak at  $m/z$  500.3455 (M<sup>-</sup>) in the HR-ESI-MS. Hence, compound 3 was elucidated as the new triterpenoid  $(3\beta, 4\alpha, 20\alpha)$ -3hydroxyolean-12-ene-23,29-dioic acid 29-methyl ester, named aceriphyllic acid K.

Pentacyclic triterpenoids have been known as the main components of A. rossii. However, this is the first time that the presence of a tetrahydrofuranoid lignan is reported. The isolates were evaluated *in vitro* for their cytotoxic activity against cancer cell lines MCF-7, LLC, and A549 with the MTT  $(=2-(4.5\text{-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)}-3.5\text{-}1)$ diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide), assay method [7] [10]. All of the isolated compounds exhibited weak activity against all tested cell lines with  $IC_{50}$  values  $> 150 \mu$ M.

This research was supported by a grant (PF 06219-00) from the Plant Diversity Research Center of the 21st Century Frontier Research Program funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Korean government. We are grateful to the Korean Basic Science Institute (KBSI), Daejeon, for supplying the NMR and mass spectra.

## Experimental Part

General. Solvents were purchased from Samchun Chemical Co. RPMI 1640, DMEM, FBS, PBS buffer, penicillin/streptomycin, and 10% trypsin/EDTA were purchased from GIBCO. MTT Reagent and DMSO were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. HPLC: Waters 400 workstation for purification and isolation, YMC-ODS-80 prep. HPLC column. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel 60 (70 – 230 mesh and 230 – 400 mesh; Merck) or reversed-phase silica gel (LiChroprep® RP-18, 40 – 63  $\mu$ m; Merck). TLC: Merck pre-coated silica gel 60  $F_{254}$  and/or RP-18  $F_{254}$  plates (0.25 mm); detection by spraying the dried plates with 10%  $H_2SO_4$ , followed by heating. Optical density (OD) values in the cytotoxic-activity determination by the MTT assays were read on a *Tecan-ELISA* microplate reader. Optical rotations: Jasco-DIP-370 polarimeter; 100 mm glass cell. UV Spectra: Thermo-9423AQA2200E UV spectrometer;  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) in nm. IR Spectra: *Bruker-Equinox-55* FT-IR spectrometer; KBr pellets;  $\tilde{\nu}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>. 1D- and 2D-NMR Spectra: Varian NMR spectrometer at 400 MHz;  $\delta$  in ppm rel. to Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard, J in Hz. HR-ESI-MS:  $JMS$ -700-MStation mass spectrometer; in  $m/z$  (rel. %).

Plant Material. The roots of A. rossii were collected at Jeongbong, Kangwondo, Korea, in June 2007 and identified by H. K. L. A voucher specimen (PB-1636) was deposited with the herbarium of the Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Korea.

Extractions and Isolation. The roots of A. rossii (20 kg) were extracted three times with MeOH at r.t. for a week. The MeOH extract was filtered and concentrated resulting in a residue (1.43 kg). The MeOH extract was suspended in hot H<sub>2</sub>O and then partitioned successively with hexane  $(3 \times 4.01)$ , AcOEt  $(3 \times$ 4.0 l), and BuOH ( $3 \times 4.0$  l) to afford fractions soluble in hexane ( $230 \text{ g}$ ), AcOEt ( $208 \text{ g}$ ), and BuOH (180 g). The hexane-soluble fraction (230 g) was subjected to CC (silica gel (40  $\times$  15 cm), hexane/AcOEt  $10 : 1 \rightarrow 0 : 1$ : Frs. H1 – H12. Repeated CC (silica gel (50  $\times$  5.0 cm), hexane/acetone 5 :  $1 \rightarrow 3 : 1$ ) of Fr. H9  $(2.5 \text{ g})$  gave Frs. H9.1 – H9.4. Compound 1 (4.8 mg) was obtained by application of Fr. H9.2 to CC (RP-18, MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 10 : 1). Fr. H11 was subjected to CC (silica gel, CHCl<sub>3</sub> acetone 20 :  $1 \rightarrow 0$  : 1): Frs. H11.1 – H11.9). Fr. H11.5 was applied to CC (silica gel, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/acetone 10:1 and 1:1): Frs. H11.5.1 – H11.5.5. Compounds 2 (2.0 mg) and 3 (2.1 mg) were isolated from Fr. H11.5.3 by CC (RP-18, MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O  $20:1 \rightarrow 30:1$ ).

Acerifuranoid A  $((-7R, 8S, 7S, 8'R) - 4-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-7,9'-epoxylignane-7',9-diyl Diacetate =$  $(a^{3}S,3R,4S,5R)$ -Tetrahydro-5-(4-hydroxyphenyl)- $a^{3}$ -(4-methoxyphenyl)furan-3,4-dimethanol 3,4-Diacetate; 1): Sticky oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -6.30$  (c = 0.5, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). UV (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 239, 275. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.96 (s, AcO–C(3)); 2.03 (s, AcO–C(7')); 2.33 – 2.39 (m, H–C(8)); 2.67 – 2.75 (m, H–C(8')); 3.70 – 3.79  $(m, CH_2(9))$ ; 3.81 (s, MeO-C(4')); 4.12 (dd, J = 6.8, 11.2, H<sub>a</sub>-C(9)); 4.36 (dd, J = 4.4, 11.2, H<sub>β</sub>-C(9)); 4.55 (d, J = 8.0, H – C(7)); 5.75 (d, J = 9.6, H – C(7')); 6.89 (d, J = 8.4, H – C(3',5')); 6.90 (d, J = 8.0,  $H-C(3,5)$ ; 7.27 (d,  $J=8.0$ ,  $H-C(2,6)$ ); 7.30 (d,  $J=8.4$ ,  $H-C(2,6')$ ). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz): 21.0  $(MeCOO-C(9)); 21.5\ (MeCOO-C(7)); 47.9\ (C(8')); 50.5\ (C(8)); 55.5\ (MeO-C(4')); 64.7\ (C(9)); 69.7$  $(C(9'))$ ; 77.9  $(C(7'))$ ; 85.5  $(C(7))$ ; 114.2  $(C(3'5'))$ ; 114.3  $(C(3,5))$ ; 128.1  $(C(2,6))$ ; 128.8  $(C(2'6'))$ ; 131.4  $(C(1'))$ ; 132.8  $(C(1))$ ; 159.6  $(C(4))$ ; 159.9  $(C(4'))$ ; 170.4 (Me $COO-C(7'))$ ; 171.1 (Me $COO-C(9))$ ). HR-ESI-MS: 473.1803 ([ $M + AcO$ ]<sup>-</sup>, C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>7</sub>; calc. 473.1805).

Aceriphyllic Acid J ( $=(3a,4a,20a)$ -3-(Acetyloxy)olean-12-ene-23,29-dioic Acid 29-Methyl Ester; 2): White amorphous powder.  $\left[ \alpha \right]_D^{22} = +48.01 \left( c = 0.1, \text{MeOH} \right)$ . UV (MeOH): 205, 259. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz,  $(D_5)$ pyridine): 5.31 (br. s, H–C(12)); 5.45 (t, J=2.4, H–C(3)); 3.65 (s, MeO); 1.96 (s, AcO–C(3)); 1.42 (s, Me(24)); 1.27 (s, Me(30)); 1.08 (s, Me(27)); 1.00 (s, Me(26)); 0.98 (s, Me(25)); 0.89 (s, Me(28)).  $13C-NMR (100 MHz, (D<sub>5</sub>)pyridine))$ : 33.3 (C(1)); 27.2 (C(2)); 76.3 (C(3)); 50.2 (C(4)); 45.8 (C(5)); 21.4 (C(6)); 32.8 (C(7)); 40.7 (C(8)); 48.2 (C(9)); 37.0 (C(10)); 24.0 (C(11)); 123.2 (C(12)); 145.1 (C(13)); 42.1 (C(14)); 26.4 (C(15)); 27.2 (C(16)); 33.3 (C(17)); 46.4 (C(18)); 41.1 (C(19)); 43.0 (C(20)); 29.6  $(C(21));$  37.2  $(C(22));$  178.1  $(C(23));$  17.9  $(C(24));$  15.9  $(C(25));$  17.5  $(C(26));$  26.2  $(C(27));$  28.4  $(C(28));$ 181.1 (C(29)); 19.8 (C(30)); 170.3 (MeCOO-C(3)); 21.8 (MeCOO-C(3)); 52.0 (MeO). HR-ESI-MS: 542.3551 ( $M^-$ ,  $C_{32}H_{48}O_6^-$ ; calc. 542.3554).

Aceriphylic Acid K  $(=(3\beta, 4\alpha, 20\alpha)$ -3-Hydroxyolean-12-ene-23,29-dioic Acid 29-Methyl Ester; 3): Colorless crystals. M.p. 282°.  $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +47.4$  (c = 0.1, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 203, 258. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 5.28 (t,  $J = 3.6$ , H – C(12)); 4.68 (dd, J = 6.8, 9.6, H – C(3)); 3.65 (s, MeO); 1.69 (s, Me(24)); 1.29 (s, Me(30)); 1.14  $(s, \text{Me}(27)); 1.05 (s, \text{Me}(25)); 0.99 (s, \text{Me}(26)); 0.90 (s, \text{Me}(28)).$ <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 39.4 (C(1)); 28.1 (C(2)); 75.7  $(C(3))$ ; 54.7  $(C(4))$ ; 52.2  $(C(5))$ ; 21.9  $(C(6))$ ; 33.0  $(C(7))$ ; 40.7  $(C(8))$ ; 48.4  $(C(9))$ ; 37.0  $(C(10))$ ; 24.2  $(C(11))$ ; 123.3  $(C(12))$ ; 144.6  $(C(13))$ ; 42.1  $(C(14))$ ; 26.6  $(C(15))$ ; 27.3  $(C(16))$ ; 32.9  $(C(17))$ ; 46.5  $(C(18))$ ; 41.2  $(C(19))$ ; 43.2  $(C(20))$ ; 29.7  $(C(21))$ ; 36.4  $(C(22))$ ; 181.0  $(C(23))$ ; 12.6  $(C(24))$ ; 16.4  $(C(25))$ ; 17.2 (C(26)); 26.3 (C(27)); 28.5 (C(28)); 179.2 (C(29)); 19.9 (C(30)); 52.0 (MeO). HR-ESI-MS: 500.3455  $(M^{\text{-}}, \text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{46}\text{O}_{5}^{\text{-}}; \text{calc. } 500.3459).$ 

Cytotoxicity Assay. The cancer cell lines (MCF-7 and LLC) were maintained in RPMI 1640 that included L-glutamine with 10% FBS and 2% penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were cultured at  $37^{\circ}$  in a 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  incubator. Cytotoxic activity was measured by using a modified MTT assay [7]. Viable cells were seeded in the growth medium (100  $\mu$ ) into 96-well microtiter plates (1 · 10<sup>4</sup> cells per well) and incubated at  $37^\circ$  in a 5%  $CO_2$  incubator. The test sample was dissolved in DMSO and adjusted to final sample concentrations ranging from  $5.0$  to  $150 \mu m$  by diluting with the growth medium. Each sample was prepared in triplicate. The final DMSO concentration was adjusted to  $< 0.1\%$ . After standing for 24 h, 10 ml of the test sample was added to each well. The same volume of DMSO was added to the control wells. Removing medium after 48 h of the test-samples treatment, MTT (5 mg/ml; 10  $\mu$ ) was also added to the each well. After 4 h in the incubator, the plates were removed, and the resulting formazan crystals were dissolved with DMSO (150 µl). The optical density was measured at 570 nm [10]. The IC<sub>50</sub> value was defined as the concentration of sample which reduced absorbance by 50% rel. to the vehicle-treated control.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. B. Lee, 'Illustrated Flora of Korea', Hyyang-munsa, Seoul, 1989, p. 409.
- [2] J. T. Han, H. Y. Kim, Y. D. Park, Y. H. Lee, K. R. Lee, B. M. Kwon, Planta Med. 2002, 68, 558.
- [3] J. T. Han, M. H. Bang, O. K. Chun, D. O. Kim, C. Y. Lee, N. I. Baek, Arch. Pharm. Res. 2004, 27, 390.
- [4] C. J. Zheng, M. J. Sohn, K. Y. Kim, H. E. Yu, W. G. Kim, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2008, 56, 11752.
- [5] I. S. Lee, J. K. Yoo, M. Na, B. S. Min, J. P. Lee, B. S. Yun, W. Y. Jin, H. J. Kim, U. J. Youn, Q. C. Chen, K. S. Song, Y. H. Seong, K. Bae, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2007, 9, 1376.
- [6] L. T. K. Van, T. M. Hung, P. T. Thuong, T. M. Ngoc, J. C. Kim, H. S. Jang, X. F. Cai, S. R. Oh, B. S. Min, M. H. Woo, J. S. Choi, H. K. Lee, K. Bae, J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 1419.
- [7] B. S. Min, I. S. Lee, M. J. Chang, J. K. Yoo, M. Na, T. M. Hung, P. T. Thuong, J. P. Lee, J. H. Kim, J. C. Kim, M. H. Woo, J. S. Choi, H. K. Lee, K. Bae, Planta Med. 2008, 74, 726.
- [8] J. Lee, E. K. Seo, D. K. Jang, T. J. Ha, J. P. Kim, J. W. Nam, G. Bae, E. M. Lee, M. S. Yang, J. S. Kim, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2009, 57, 298.
- [9] J. Lee, D. Lee, D. S. Jang, J. W. Nam, J. P. Kim, K. H. Park, M. S. Yang, E. K. Seo, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2009, 55, 137.
- [10] T. K. Chen, D. C. Ales, N. C. Baenziger, D. F. Wiemer, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 3525.

Received December 22, 2009